

## CITY OF GLENDALE, CA

### **DESIGN REVIEW STAFF REPORT - SINGLE FAMILY**

November 9, 2023 4208 New York Avenue (Lot A)

Hearing Date Address

Design Review Board (DRB) 5606-013-062

Review Type APN

PDR-002026-2023 Rafael Estevez

Case Number Applicant

Dennis Joe, Senior Planner Robert Hall

Case Planner Owner

### **Project Summary**

With the lot lines reconfigured for the project site totaling 15,603 square feet (SF), the applicant is requesting to demolish the existing one-story, 756 SF single family dwelling (constructed in 1924) and one-car garage and to construct two new single family residences on the reconfigured lots located in the R1 II (Low Density Residential, Floor Area District II) zone. Case No. PDR-002026-2023 consists of a new one-story, 2,220 SF single family residence with an attached two-car garage on a 6,619 SF flag lot.

#### **Environmental Review**

The project is exempt from CEQA review as a Class 3 "New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures" exemption pursuant to Section 15303 of the State CEQA Guidelines because the project is to construct one single-family residence.

## **Existing Property/Background**

The project site consists of two parcels (APN's 5606-013-062 and 5606-013-063) and is developed with a one-story 756-square-foot single-family dwelling (constructed 1924) that straddles the interior property line between the two parcels. On January 10, 2023, the Director of Community Development approved Lot Line Adjustment Case No. PLLA 2103999, to adjust property lines between the two parcels resulting in a 6,619 square-foot regularly shaped lot (described as Lot A, APN 5606-013-062) and an 8,984 square-foot flag shaped lot (described as Lot B, APN 5606-013-063). The topography of the sites consists of a gentle downward slope from the northern to southern direction, and is mostly bare with patches of scrubland and trees scattered throughout the property.

There was a single mature coast live oak tree with an approximately 18-inch trunk centrally located on the property which was removed during processing of the Lot Line Adjustment application. The Urban Forrester has reviewed this proposal and requires a condition that one (1) additional new coast live oak tree within the City's parkway be included in the new

landscaping plan for each new residence (reference PDR-002027-2023), for a total of two (2) coast live oak trees (Exhibit 5).

A Historical Resource Evaluation by Sapphos Environmental, Inc. (Exhibit 6), was prepared for this project and found that the primary façade of the existing single-family dwelling has been compromised (the front porch was enclosed and a sliding glass door has been added to serve as the primary entrance). The overall character of the building has been compromised and the building does not retain integrity of design and feeling. As a result, the report concluded that 4208 New York Avenue is ineligible for designation at the local, state or national level and is therefore not considered a historic resource under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

### **Staff Recommendation**

Approve with Conditions

### **Last Date Reviewed / Decision**

First time submittal for final review.

### Zone: RI FAR District: II

Although this design review does not convey final zoning approval, the project has been reviewed for consistency with the applicable Codes and no inconsistencies have been identified.

## **Active/Pending Permits and Approvals**

None.

## Site Slope and Grading

None proposed.

## **Neighborhood Survey**

	Average of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Range of Properties within 300 linear feet of subject property	Subject Property Proposal
Lot size	6,358 SF	5,100 SF - 6,930 SF	6,619 SF
Setback	25 FT	20 FT - 33 FT	25 FT
House size	1,273 SF	1,060 SF - 1,494 SF	2,220 SF
Floor Area Ratio	0.20	0.15 - 0.26	0.34
Number of stories	1-story	1-story	1-story

### **DESIGN ANALYSIS**

## Site Planning

Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?

## **Building Location**

⊠ yes □ n/a □ no	
If "no" select from below and explain:  ☐ Setbacks of buildings on site	
<ul> <li>□ Prevailing setbacks on the street</li> <li>□ Building and decks follow topography</li> </ul>	
Garage Location and Driveway ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no	
<ul><li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li><li>□ Predominant pattern on block</li><li>□ Compatible with primary structure</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>□ Permeable paving material</li> <li>□ Decorative paving</li> </ul>	
Landscape Design ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no	
<ul><li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li><li>□ Complementary to building design</li><li>□ Maintains existing trees when possible</li></ul>	
<ul> <li>☐ Maximizes permeable surfaces</li> <li>☐ Appropriately sized and located</li> </ul>	
Walls and Fences □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no	
<ul><li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li><li></li></ul>	
<ul><li>□ Retaining walls minimized</li><li>☒ Appropriately sized and located</li></ul>	
The design of the perimeter wall/fences were not submitted as part of the application Should the project include a perimeter wall, decorative material that is durable and suitable for exterior use should be employed, such as, wood, wrought iron, stone, specificate block or block walls finished stucco should be used for walls and fences. The use	olit

## **Determination of Compatibility: Site Planning**

of chain-link, vinyl or other plastic material is to be avoided.

The proposed site planning is appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

The proposed building is appropriate for the surrounding neighborhood because it
will be centrally located on the parcel and set back 25 feet from New York Avenue
complementary to the setback rhythm of the street.

- Though the 18-inch coast live oak was removed, as mitigation the Urban Forester required a condition that one (1) additional new coast live oak tree within the city parkway are to be included in the new landscaping plan for each new residence.
- Should the project include a perimeter wall, staff recommends a condition the design of the perimeter wall/fences include a decorative material, such as, wood, wrought iron, stone, split face block or block walls finished stucco. The use of vinyl or other plastic material is to be avoided.

Massing	and	Scal	е
---------	-----	------	---

re the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding rea?
Building Relates to its Surrounding Context ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
<ul> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Appropriate proportions and transitions</li> <li>□ Relates to predominant pattern</li> <li>□ Impact of larger building minimized</li> </ul>
Building Relates to Existing Topography ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
<ul> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Form and profile follow topography</li> <li>□ Alteration of existing land form minimized</li> <li>□ Retaining walls terrace with slope</li> </ul>
Consistent Architectural Concept ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:  ☐ Concept governs massing and height
Scale and Proportion ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
If "no" select from below and explain:
<ul> <li>□ Scale and proportion fit context</li> <li>□ Articulation avoids overbearing forms</li> </ul>
<ul><li>☐ Appropriate solid/void relationships</li><li>☐ Entry and major features well located</li></ul>
☐ Avoids sense of monumentality
Roof Forms
⊠ yes □ n/a □ no

<ul> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Roof reinforces design concept</li> <li>□ Configuration appropriate to context</li> </ul>
Determination of Compatibility: Mass and Scale
The proposed massing and scale are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:
<ul> <li>The one-story new single-family dwelling will be 19-feet, 4-inches in height and is designed with a massing concept that is a proper fit with the neighborhood. The project site is bounded by single-family dwellings to the north, west and east and the 210 freeway to the south.</li> <li>The pitched gable roof design will be consistent with the overall building design and relate well with the building concept.</li> </ul>
<b>Design and Detailing</b> Are the following items satisfactory and compatible with the project site and surrounding area?
Overall Design and Detailing ⊠ yes □ n/a □ no
<ul> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Consistent architectural concept</li> <li>□ Proportions appropriate to project and surrounding neighborhood</li> <li>□ Appropriate solid/void relationships</li> </ul>
Entryway □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no
<ul> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Well integrated into design</li> <li>□ Avoids sense of monumentality</li> <li>□ Design provides appropriate focal point</li> <li>⋈ Doors appropriate to design</li> <li>Design detail of the front entry door and side light were not provided. As a condition, prior to plan check submittal, the door design shall be provided for staff's review to match the style of the overall building.</li> </ul>
Windows □ yes □ n/a ⊠ no
<ul> <li>□ yes</li> <li>□ n/a</li> <li>⋈ no</li> <li>If "no" select from below and explain:</li> <li>□ Appropriate to overall design</li> <li>□ Placement appropriate to style</li> <li>⋈ Recessed in wall, when appropriate</li> </ul>

As indicated on the applicant's architectural statement (Exhibit 7), the design focuses keep with the variety of style of the neighborhood (primarily Minimal Traditional). The windows throughout the new dwelling include nail-on (flush), vinyl windows with horizontal sliding operations throughout the building. A condition is recommended for the windows on the building be constructed with a recessed placement retreated with wood sills and surrounds to enhance the overall design aesthetic and complement the Minimal Traditional style.

Privacy				
□ yes 🏻	⊠ n/a	□ no		
☐ Consi	deratior	below and explain:  n of views from "publi vs facing adjacent wir	c" rooms and balconies/decks ndows	
Finish Mat ⊠ yes □	terials a □ n/a	and Color □ no		
☐ Textui ☐ High-c ☐ Respe	res and quality, ect artic	below and explain: colors reinforce desi especially facing the ulation and façade hi and terminate appro	street erarchy	
Paving Ma ⊠ yes □	iterials □ n/a	□ no		
□ Decor □ Perme	ative m eable pa	below and explain: aterial at entries/drive aving when possible color related to desig	•	
•	Equipm ⊒ n/a	ent, Trash, and Dra ⊠ no	inage	
<ul><li>□ Light f</li><li>☑ Light f</li><li>□ Equip</li><li>□ Trash</li><li>□ Downs</li></ul>	fixtures fixture d ment so storage spouts	esign appropriate to creened and well loca e out of public view appropriately located	ated	

Ancillary	Structu	res
□ yes	⊠ n/a	□ no
If "no" sel	lect from	below and explain:
☐ Desi	ign consis	stent with primary structure
☐ Desi	ign and m	naterials of gates complement primary structure

## **Determination of Compatibility: Design and Detailing**

The proposed design and detailing are appropriate, as modified by any proposed conditions, to the site and its surroundings for the following reasons:

- The project incorporates design details that are complementary to the desired Minimal Traditional style of the new single-family dwelling with composite horizontal siding, asphalt shingles and wooden fascia.
- The windows throughout the new dwelling include nail-on (flush), vinyl windows with horizontal sliding operations throughout the building. A condition is recommended for the windows be constructed with a recessed placement retreated with wood sills and surrounds to enhance the overall design aesthetic and complement the Minimal Traditional style.
- While the design for the front entry door and side light were not provided, a condition is recommended that details of the design are to be provided for staff's review prior to building plan check.

### Recommendation / Draft Record of Decision

Based on the above analysis, staff recommends **Approval with Conditions**. This determination is based on the implementation of the following recommended conditions:

### **Conditions**

- 1. Prior to plan check submittal, the door design shall be provided for staff's review and approval to match the style of the building.
- 2. The windows on the building be constructed with a recessed placement retreated with wood sills and surrounds to enhance the overall design aesthetic and complement the Minimal Traditional style.
- 3. Should the project include a perimeter wall, decorative material that is durable and suitable for exterior use should be employed, such as, wood, wrought iron, stone, split face block or block walls finished stucco should be used for walls and fences. The use of chain-link, vinyl or other plastic material is to be avoided.
- 4. As conditioned by the Urban Forester, one (1) additional new coast live oak tree shall be included in the new landscaping plan for each new residence associated with Lot Line Adjustment Case No. PLLA 2103999 for a total of two (2) coast live oak trees within the City's parkway.

# Attachments

- 1. Reduced Plans
- 2. Photos of Existing Property
- 3. Location Map
- 4. Neighborhood Survey
- 5. Interdepartmental Comment Urban Forester
- 6. Historical Resources Report Sapphos Environmental, Inc.
- 7. Architectural Statement