

Case No. PAPP-000522-2022

Date 12/01/2022

Submit 3 copies of this application, along with the required fee, to:

Permit Services Center (PSC), 633 East Broadway, Rm. 101, Glendale, California, 91206 (Monday thru Friday, 7:00 am to 12:00 pm);

Or to:

Community Development Department (CDD), 633 East Broadway, Rm 103, Glendale, California, 91206 (Monday thru Friday, 12:00 pm to 5 p.m.).

For more information please call the PSC at 818.548.3200, or the Planning Division at 818.548.2115.

Please complete (PRINT or TYPE) the following information:

### PART 1 – NOTICE TO APPELLANT (please read carefully)

- This form must be prepared, and 3 copies filed, within 15 days of the date of the decision being appealed.
- Every question must be answered.
- If a question does not apply, you must answer "does not apply" or words to that effect.
- Failure to properly fill out this notice or failure to make a sufficient statement of a case in this notice, even if in fact you have valid and sound grounds for appeal, may cause your appeal to be dismissed forthwith.
- Attach additional pages for long answers.
- Prior to completing this form, read the Glendale Municipal Code, Title 2, Chapter 2.88 Uniform Appeal Procedure on the City's webpage at [www.ci.glendale.ca.us/gmc/2.88.asp](http://www.ci.glendale.ca.us/gmc/2.88.asp)

### PART 2 – APPELLANT INFORMATION

|    |                    |                      |                      |                          |
|----|--------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|
| A. | RENE               | KARAPEDIAN           | MYPETRUSH2@GMAIL.COM |                          |
|    | First Name         | Last Name            | Email Address        |                          |
| B. | 5140 JARVIS AVENUE | LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE | CA                   | 91011-1643               |
|    | Street Address     | City                 | State                | Zip Code                 |
|    |                    |                      |                      | 818-497-6715             |
|    |                    |                      |                      | Area Code - Phone Number |

### PART 3 – APPEAL BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- State the name or title of the board, commission or officer from which this appeal is taken \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT HISTORICAL
- Were you given written notice of the action, ruling or determination? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If "Yes," attach a copy of the written notice and write the date you received it here 11/30/2022  
If "No," give the following information concerning your receipt of notice of the action, ruling or determination.  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ Time \_\_\_\_\_ Location \_\_\_\_\_ Manner \_\_\_\_\_
- State generally what kind of permit, variance, ruling, determination or other action was the basis for the decision from which the appeal is taken \_\_\_\_\_  
Notice for Environmental Impact Report (EIR) required prior to demolition permit being issued for existing deteriorating wood structures that are beyond standard repair and maintenance processes which was denied.
- State the specific permission or relief that was originally sought from the board, commission, or officer \_\_\_\_\_  
Remove CEQA Historical report review requirements based on past aura and presence of cultural effect which directed an EIR to be prepared
- Were you the party seeking the relief that was originally sought? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If "No," how are you involved with the permit, variance, ruling, determination, or other action referred to above? \_\_\_\_\_
- Does this matter involve real property? Yes ☒ No ☐  
If "Yes," give the address, or describe the real property affected 1900 RIVERSIDE DRIVE



**PART 4 – STATEMENT OF ERROR**

- A. Do you contend that there was a violation of a specific provision of law, which forms the basis for this appeal? \_\_\_Yes XNo If "Yes", state each specific provision of law that you contend was violated: \_\_\_\_\_
- B. Do you contend that the board, commission or officer exceeded its authority by virtue of any of the provisions of law given in answer "A"? \_\_\_Yes XNo If "Yes", state which provisions, and state specifically each act that was in excess of authority: \_\_\_\_\_
- C. Do you contend that the board, commission or officer failed to fulfill a mandatory duty by any provision of law given in answer "A"? \_\_\_Yes XNo If "Yes", state which provision, and the specific duty that it failed to exercise: \_\_\_\_\_
- D. Do you contend that the board, commission or officer refused to hear or consider certain facts before rendering its decision? \_\_\_Yes XNo If "Yes", state each such fact, and for each fact, state how it should have changed the act, determination or ruling: \_\_\_\_\_
- E. Do you contend that the evidence before the board, commission or officer was insufficient or inadequate to support its action, determination or ruling or any specific finding in support thereof? XYes \_\_\_No  
If "Yes", state what evidence was necessary, but lacking: The negative impact (Sapphos) HRA report was fairly definitive in stating no impact if existing structures were removed.  
The positive impact (J Snow) HRA report had areas of subjective interpretation trying to weigh-in and draw supportive influence to justify keeping the original, current out of character structures to remain intact by virtue of their defunct historical aura and cultural effect
- F. Do you contend that you have new evidence of material facts not previously presented, which if considered should change the act, determination or ruling? \_\_\_Yes XNo If "Yes", state each new material fact not previously presented to the board, commission or officer. For each fact, state why it was not available, or with the exercise of reasonable diligence could not have been discovered and previously presented by the appellant: \_\_\_\_\_

Statement of additional facts related to the appeal: Based on (2) diametrically opposed HRA reports, with one report solely relying on, emphasis on, a past defunct use 'aura' and cultural 'presence' effect to keep substandard inhumane equine structure facilities active, while new, above standard state of art humane equine facilities are proposed as replacement

The foregoing statements, contained in PARTS 2, 3 and 4 above, are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

RENE KARAPEDIAN

Appellant's Name – Please Print

Appellant's Signature

12/1/2022

Date Signed

**FOR STAFF USE ONLY**

Date Stamp

Date received in Permit Services Center \_\_\_\_\_ Received by \_\_\_\_\_

Fee paid \_\_\_\_\_ Receipt No. \_\_\_\_\_